arXiv:1510.08061v2 [math.AG] 14 Sep 2016

EXTREMALITY OF LOCI OF HYPERELLIPTIC CURVES WITH MARKED WEIERSTRASS POINTS

DAWEI CHEN AND NICOLA TARASCA

Abstract. The locus of genus-two curves with n marked Weierstrass points has codimension n inside the moduli space of genus-two curves with n marked points, for $n \leq 6$. It is well known that the class of the closure of the divisor obtained for $n = 1$ spans an extremal ray of the cone of effective divisor classes. We generalize this result for all n: we show that the class of the closure of the locus of genus-two curves with n marked Weierstrass points spans an extremal ray of the cone of effective classes of codimension n, for $n \leq 6$. A related construction produces extremal nef curve classes in moduli spaces of pointed elliptic curves.

Every smooth curve of genus two has a unique map of degree two to the projective line, ramified at six points, called *Weierstrass points*. It follows that the locus $\mathcal{H}yp_{2,n}$ of curves of genus two with n marked Weierstrass points has codimension n inside the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{2,n}$ of smooth curves of genus two with n marked points, for $1 \leq n \leq 6$. In this paper, we study the classes of the closures of the loci $\mathcal{H}yp_{2,n}$ inside the moduli space of stable curves $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n}$.

The cone of effective codimension-one classes on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1}$ is explicitly described in [Rul01] and [Rul06], and encodes the rational contractions of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1}$. It is thus natural to study cones of effective classes of higher codimension. The following is one of the first results in this direction.

Theorem 1. *For $1 \leq n \leq 6$, the class of $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,n}$ is rigid and extremal in the cone of effective classes of codimension n in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n}$.*

Theorem 1 motivates the computation of the classes of the loci $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,n}$. The class of the divisor $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}$ has been computed in [EH87], and the class of the codimension-two locus $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}$ has been computed in [Tar15]. In §5 we study the next nontrivial case.

Theorem 2. *In $A^3(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,3})$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\big[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,3}\big] \;=\; & \big((3\omega_1-\lambda-\delta_1)\cdot(3\omega_2-\lambda-\delta_1)-(\delta_{0:\{1,2\}}+\delta_{0:3})\cdot(3\omega_1-\lambda-\delta_1)-\gamma_{1:\emptyset}-\gamma_{1:\{3\}}\big)\\ & \cdot(3\omega_3-\lambda-\delta_1-\delta_{0:\{1,3\}}-\delta_{0:\{2,3\}})-\gamma_{1:\{1\}}\cdot(2\psi_1-\delta_{1:\{1\}})-\gamma_{1:\{2\}}\cdot(2\psi_2-\delta_{1:\{2\}})\\ & -\gamma_{1:\emptyset}\cdot(\psi_1-\delta_{0:\{1,3\}}).\end{aligned}$$

For elliptic curves, the difference of two ramification points of a degree-2 map to the projective line can be regarded as a 2-torsion point. In a somewhat similar fashion, we consider in general the locus of points on elliptic curves whose pairwise differences are k-torsion points. More precisely, for $k \geq 2$ and $2 \leq n \leq k^2$, consider the following one-dimensional locus in $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}$

$$\mathcal{T}or^k_{1,n} := \{[C, p_1, \ldots, p_n] \in \mathcal{M}_{1,n} \,|\, kp_1 \sim \cdots \sim kp_n\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{T}or^k_{1,n}$ might be reducible: $\mathcal{T}or^d_{1,n}$ is a sub-curve of $\mathcal{T}or^k_{1,n}$ for all divisors d of k.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H99 (primary), 14C99 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. Subvarieties of moduli spaces of curves, effective cones, higher codimensional cycles.

During the preparation of this article the first author was partially supported by NSF CAREER grant DMS-1350396.

The class of the divisor $\overline{\mathcal{T}or}^2_{1,2}$ is in the interior of the two-dimensional cone of effective divisor classes in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,2}$ and spans an extremal ray of the cone of nef divisor classes in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,2}$ ([Rul01]).

Theorem 3. *For $k \geq 2$ and $2 \leq n \leq k^2$, the class of $\overline{\mathcal{T}or}^k_{1,n}$ spans an extremal ray of the cone of nef curve classes in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n}$, and this ray does not dependent on k.*

Structure of the paper. The proof of Theorem 3 is in §1 — this section is independent from the rest of the paper. In §2 we collect some classical results on classes of hyperelliptic loci which are needed later on. The proof of Theorem 1 in the case $n = 2$ is in §4.2 and is based on the explicit description of the codimension-two class $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}]$ presented in §4.1. In §3 we prove a recursive argument that works in a more general context, and we thus complete the proof of Theorem 1. Finally, we prove Theorem 2 in §5 using the description of the classes $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}]$ and $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}]$ from §4.1.

Notation. We use throughout the following notation for divisor classes on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. The class ψ_i is the cotangent class at the marked point i, and the class ω_i is the pull-back of the class ψ_i via the map $\rho_i \colon \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$ obtained by forgetting all marked points but the point i. The class δ_{irr} is the class of the closure of the locus of nodal irreducible curves. We denote by λ the pull-back of the first Chern class of the Hodge bundle over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. For $i \in \{0, \ldots, g\}$ and $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we denote by $\delta_{i:J}$ the class of the divisor $\Delta_{i:J}$ whose general element has a component of genus i containing the points marked by indices in J and meeting a component of genus $g - i$ containing the remaining marked points. One has $\delta_{i:J} = \delta_{g-i:J^c}$. We will denote by $\delta_{i:j}$ the sum of all distinct divisor classes $\delta_{i:J}$ such that $|J| = j$, and by δ_i the sum of all distinct classes $\delta_{i:j}$ for all possible values of j. Let $\pi_k \colon \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n-1}$ be the map obtained by forgetting the k-th marked point. Note that $\pi_k^*(\delta_i) = \delta_i$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, for $n \geq 2$.

We also use the following codimension-two tautological classes on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. For $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$, let $\gamma_{1:J}$ be the class of the locus $\Gamma_{1:J}$ of curves whose general element has an elliptic component containing exactly the points marked by indices in J, and meeting in two points a component of genus $g - 2$ containing the remaining marked points.

Throughout we work over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. All cycle classes are stack fundamental classes, and all cohomology and Chow groups are taken with rational coefficients. We implicitly assume real coefficients when we consider nef classes and closures of cones of effective classes.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Izzet Coskun for helpful discussions on related ideas, Dan Petersen for pointing our attention to the results in [PT14] and [Pet14], and the referee for suggesting many improvements on the exposition.

1. Extremal nef curve classes on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n}$

In this section we show that the class of the one-dimensional locus $\overline{\mathcal{T}or}^k_{1,n}$ spans an extremal ray of the cone $\mathrm{Nef}^{n-1}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n})$ of nef curve classes on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n}$, for $k \geq 2$ and $2 \leq n \leq k^2$.

By definition, the cone $\mathrm{Nef}^{n-1}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n})$ is dual to the cone of pseudo-effective divisors $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n})$. A subcone S of $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n})$ is *extremal in* $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n})$ if whenever $E_1 + E_2 \in S$, then $E_1, E_2 \in S$. We first show that the cone generated by the boundary divisor classes is extremal in $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n})$.

Lemma 1. *The cone generated by the classes $\delta_{0:J}$ for $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ is extremal in $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n})$ for $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. We follow the strategy of [Rul01, Corollary 1.4.7], where Rulla shows that the cone generated by the boundary divisor classes on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ is extremal in $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g)$.

We first show that the classes $\delta_{0:\{i,j\}}$ are extremal in $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n})$, for $n \geq 3$ and $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Consider the one-dimensional family of curves $C_{\{i,j\}}$ obtained by attaching a rational component containing the points with markings i and j at a moving point of an elliptic curve containing the remaining

$n-2$ marked points. The curve $C_{\{i,j\}}$ is a moving curve in $\Delta_{0:\{i,j\}}$, and one has $C_{\{i,j\}} \cdot \delta_{0:\{i,j\}} < 0$. It follows that $\delta_{0:\{i,j\}}$ is rigid and extremal in $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n})$. Moreover, $C_{\{i,j\}}$ has empty intersection with $\delta_{0:J}$ for $|J| = 2$ and $J \neq \{i,j\}$. From [Rul01, Lemma 1.4.6], the cone generated by the classes $\delta_{0:J}$ for $|J| = 2$ is extremal in $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n})$.

We then use the following recursion on k, for $3 \leq k \leq n-1$. Suppose that the cone generated by all classes $\delta_{0:J}$ with $|J| < k < n$ is extremal in $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n})$. For $i_1, \ldots, i_k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, consider the one-dimensional family $C_{\{i_1,\ldots,i_k\}}$ obtained by attaching a rational component containing the points with markings $i_1, \ldots, i_k$ at a moving point of an elliptic curve containing the remaining $n-k$ marked points. One has $C_{\{i_1,\ldots,i_k\}} \cdot \delta_{0:\{i_1,\ldots,i_k\}} < 0$ and $C_{\{i_1,\ldots,i_k\}} \cdot \delta_{0:J} = 0$ for $|J| \leq k$ and $J \neq \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$. Again from [Rul01, Lemma 1.4.6], the cone generated by the classes $\delta_{0:J}$ for $|J| \leq k$ is thus extremal in $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n})$.

Finally, let us consider the class $\delta_{0:\{1,\ldots,n\}}$ for $n \geq 2$. Consider the one-dimensional family E obtained by attaching a rational curve containing all marked points to a base point of a pencil of plane cubics. One has $E \cdot \delta_{0:\{1,\ldots,n\}} < 0$ and $E \cdot \delta_{0:J} = 0$ for $|J| < n$. Hence, the cone generated by $\delta_{0:\{1,\ldots,n\}}$ and $\delta_{0:J}$ with $|J| < n$ is extremal in $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n})$. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. Singular elements in $\overline{\mathcal{T}or}^k_{1,n}$ do not have rational tails. Indeed, consider a singular pointed curve $[C, p_1, \ldots, p_n]$ inside the closure of $\mathcal{T}or^k_{1,n}$. The condition $kp_i \sim kp_j$ means that there exists an admissible cover $\pi\colon C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree k totally ramified at p_i and p_j. Suppose C has a rational tail R containing p_i and p_j. By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, R does not contain any other ramification point of π. Since $\overline{C \setminus R}$ has arithmetic genus 1, one has $\deg(\pi|_{\overline{C\setminus R}}) > 1$. Hence, the tail R has to meet the other components of C in more than one point, a contradiction. It follows that $[\overline{\mathcal{T}or}^k_{1,n}]$ has zero intersection with all divisor classes $\delta_{0:J}$. Note that by the projection formula relative to the natural map $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$, the class $[\overline{\mathcal{T}or}^k_{1,n}]$ has positive intersection with the divisor class λ.

From Lemma 1, the cone generated by the classes $\delta_{0:J}$ is extremal in $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n})$, hence by duality the class $[\overline{\mathcal{T}or}^k_{1,n}]$ spans an extremal ray of $\mathrm{Nef}^{n-1}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n})$, and this ray does not depend on k. □

2. On hyperelliptic loci

In the following, we collect some well-known facts about classes of hyperelliptic loci which we will use later. For $g \geq 2$ and $0 \leq n \leq 2g+2$, let

$$\mathcal{H}yp_{g,n} := \left\{ [C, p_1, \ldots, p_n] \in \mathcal{M}_{g,n} \,|\, C \text{ is hyperelliptic and } h^0\left(C, \mathcal{O}_C(2p_i)\right) \geq 2, \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n \right\}$$

be the locus of hyperelliptic curves of genus g with n marked Weierstrass points. The locus $\mathcal{H}yp_{g,n}$ has codimension $g-2+n$ in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ of smooth curves of genus g with n marked points. The class of the closure $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n}$ is tautological on the moduli of stable curves $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ ([FP05]).

Let $\mathcal{M}^{rt}_{g,n}$ be the moduli space of curves with rational tails. From [FP05] or [GV05], the tautological group $R^{g-2+n}(\mathcal{M}^{rt}_{g,n})$ is one-dimensional. When $n = 0$, $R^{g-2}(\mathcal{M}_g)$ is one-dimensional and is generated by the class of the hyperelliptic locus $\mathcal{H}yp_g$, or equivalently the class κ_{g-2} ([Loo95] and [Fab99]). Let $\mathcal{H}yp^{rt}_{g,n}$ be the restriction of $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n}$ to $\mathcal{M}^{rt}_{g,n}$. Since the push-forward of $[\mathcal{H}yp^{rt}_{g,n}]$ via the natural map $\mathcal{M}^{rt}_{g,n} \to \mathcal{M}_g$ is a positive multiple of $[\mathcal{H}yp_g]$, it follows that $[\mathcal{H}yp^{rt}_{g,n}]$ is non-zero and generates $R^{g-2+n}(\mathcal{M}^{rt}_{g,n})$.

Equivalently, $R^{g-2+n}(\mathcal{M}^{rt}_{g,n})$ is generated by the decorated class $\delta_{g,\psi^{g-1}}$ defined in the following way. Consider the glueing map

$$\xi\colon \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$$

obtained by attaching a chain of $n-1$ rational components at the marked point of an element in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$. We fix the markings in an increasing order, from the inner rational component to the outer one. From

the rational equivalence of points in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+1}$, the classes of the loci obtained by permuting the markings on the image of ξ are all rationally equivalent. The class $\delta_{g,\psi^{g-1}}$ is defined as the push-forward of the class ψ^{g-1} in $R^{g-1}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1})$ via the map ξ.

Let $\pi\colon \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ and $\pi_n\colon \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n-1}$ be the natural maps. Note that $(\pi_n)_*\delta_{g,\psi^{g-1}} = \delta_{g,\psi^{g-1}}$ in $R^{g-2+n-1}(\mathcal{M}_{g,n-1})$ for $n \geq 3$, and $(\pi_2)_*\delta_{g,\psi^{g-1}} = \psi^{g-1}$. Since $\kappa_{g-2} := \pi_*(\psi^{g-1})$ is non-zero in $R^{g-2}(\mathcal{M}_g)$, we conclude $\delta_{g,\psi^{g-1}}$ is non-zero in $R^{g-2+n}(\mathcal{M}^{rt}_{g,n})$.

Example. In the case $g = 2$, for $2 \leq n \leq 6$ we have

$$\big[\mathcal{H}yp^{rt}_{2,n}\big] = \frac{6!}{2\cdot(6-n)!}\,\delta_{2,\psi} \in R^n(\mathcal{M}^{rt}_{2,n}).$$

Indeed, let us write $\big[\mathcal{H}yp^{rt}_{2,n}\big] = \alpha\,\delta_{2,\psi}$ in $R^n(\mathcal{M}^{rt}_{2,n})$. In order to determine the coefficient α, we intersect both sides of the equation with a test space. Let C be a smooth curve of genus 2, and let $C[n]$ be the n-th Fulton-MacPherson compactification of the space of n distinct points of C ([FM94]). The natural map $C[n+1] \to C[n]$ gives an n-dimensional family of genus-2 curves with rational tails. Weierstrass points on C are ramification points of the hyperelliptic double covering. Analyzing the Hurwitz space of admissible double coverings, it is easy to see that the intersection $[\mathcal{H}yp^{rt}_{2,n}]\cdot C[n]$ corresponds to all ordered n-tuples of Weierstrass points in C, and is transversal. We deduce that $[\mathcal{H}yp^{rt}_{2,n}]\cdot C[n] = 6!/(6-n)!$. On the other hand, one has $\delta_{2,\psi}\cdot C[n] = \psi\cdot\xi^*(C[n]) = \psi\cdot C[1] = 2$, whence the statement.

3. A recursive argument

Let $N^k(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ be the group of codimension-k cycles on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ modulo numerical equivalence. We denote by $\mathrm{Eff}^k(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) \subset N^k(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ the *cone of effective cycle classes*, and by $\mathrm{REff}^k(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) \subseteq \mathrm{Eff}^k(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ the *sub-cone of effective tautological classes* (see [FP05] for tautological classes on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$).

A cycle class E inside a cone $K \subset N^k(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ is called *extremal in* K if whenever two cycle classes E_1 and E_2 in K are such that $E = E_1 + E_2$, then both E_1 and E_2 lie in the ray spanned by E. An effective cycle class E is called *rigid* if any effective cycle with class mE is supported on the support of E.

Theorem 4. *Given $g \geq 2$, if $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,2}]$ is rigid and extremal in $\mathrm{REff}^g(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,2})$, then $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n}]$ is rigid and extremal in $\mathrm{REff}^{g-2+n}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$, for $3 \leq n \leq 2g+2$.*

Proof. Let $n \geq 3$ and assume that the statement is true for $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n-1}$. Suppose that

$$\big[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n}\big] = \sum_i a_i[X_i], \tag{1}$$

with $a_i > 0$, X_i irreducible, tautological, effective of codimension n, and $[X_i]$ not proportional to $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n}]$, for all i.

Since $R^{g-2+n}(\mathcal{M}^{rt}_{g,n})$ is generated by $\delta_{g,\psi^{g-1}}$ (see §2), we can express the class of each X_i as

$$[X_i] = c_i\,\delta_{g,\psi^{g-1}} + B_i,$$

where c_i is a non-negative coefficient, and B_i is a (not necessarily effective) cycle class in $R^{g-2+n}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ with $B_i = 0$ in $R^{g-2+n}(\mathcal{M}^{rt}_{g,n})$. Let $\pi_j\colon \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n-1}$ be the map obtained by forgetting the point j. Applying $(\pi_j)_*$ to (1), we have

$$(2g+2-(n-1))\,\big[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n-1}\big] = \sum_i a_i(\pi_j)_*[X_i]. \tag{2}$$

Pick a locus X_i appearing on the right side of (1). Consider two cases. First, suppose $(\pi_1)_*[X_i] = \cdots = (\pi_n)_*[X_i] = 0$. Note that $(\pi_j)_*\delta_{g,\psi^{g-1}} = \delta_{g,\psi^{g-1}}$ in $R^{g-2+n-1}(\mathcal{M}^{rt}_{g,n-1})$, for all $j = 1,\ldots,n$. Since $B_i = 0$ in $R^{g-2+n}(\mathcal{M}^{rt}_{g,n})$, using the exact sequence

$$A^{g-2+n}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \setminus \mathcal{M}^{rt}_{g,n}) \to A^{g-2+n}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}) \to A^{g-2+n}(\mathcal{M}^{rt}_{g,n}) \to 0$$

we can assume that B_i is represented by a linear combination of cycle classes supported in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \setminus \mathcal{M}^{rt}_{g,n}$. An element in the support of such a cycle does not have an irreducible and smooth component of genus g, hence $(\pi_j)_* B_i = 0$ in $A^{g-2+n-1}(\mathcal{M}^{rt}_{g,n-1})$, for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$. We deduce that $c_i = 0$, that is, $[X_i] = 0$ in $R^{g-2+n}(\mathcal{M}^{rt}_{g,n})$.

For the other case, suppose $(\pi_1)_*[X_i]$ is nonzero. Since the class $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n-1}]$ is rigid and extremal in $\mathrm{REff}^{g+n-3}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n-1})$, from (2) we deduce that $(\pi_1)_*[X_i]$ is a positive multiple of the class of $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n-1}$ and moreover $X_i \subset (\pi_1)^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n-1}$. This implies that $(\pi_2)_*[X_i], \ldots, (\pi_n)_*[X_i]$ are also nonzero. It follows that X_i is in the intersection of all the $(\pi_j)^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n-1}$, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. In particular, any $n-1$ marked points in a general element of X_i are distinct Weierstrass points. Hence, all n marked points must be distinct Weierstrass points. (Note that $n \geq 3$.) This forces $[X_i]$ to be a positive multiple of $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n}]$, a contradiction.

Finally, the above steps show that $[X_i] = 0$ in $R^{g-2+n}(\mathcal{M}^{rt}_{g,n})$, for all i. This yields a contradiction since $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n}] \neq 0$ in $R^{g-2+n}(\mathcal{M}^{rt}_{g,n})$ (see §2), hence $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n}]$ is extremal in $\mathrm{REff}^{g-2+n}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$.

Suppose that $E := m\,[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n}]$ is effective. Since $(\pi_j)_*(E) = (2g-n+3)m\,[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n-1}]$ and $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n-1}]$ is rigid, $(\pi_j)_*(E)$ is supported on $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n-1}$, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. This implies that E is supported on the intersection of all the $(\pi_j)^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n-1}$, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. We conclude that E is supported on $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n}$ and $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n}]$ is rigid. □

Remark 1. The classes $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_3]$, $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{3,1}]$, and $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_4]$ are known to be extremal, respectively in $\mathrm{Eff}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_3)$ ([Rul01]), $\mathrm{Eff}^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{3,1})$, and $\mathrm{Eff}^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_4)$ ([CC15]). It is natural to wonder whether $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{g,n}]$ is extremal in $\mathrm{REff}^{g-2+n}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$, for all $g \geq 2$ and $0 \leq n \leq 2g+2$. By Theorem 4, it is enough to study the cases $n \leq 2$.

4. Loci of Weierstrass points on curves of genus 2

In this section, we complete the proof of Theorem 1. It is enough to show that $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,n}]$ is rigid and extremal in $\mathrm{REff}^n(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n})$, and use the fact that $\mathrm{REff}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n}) = \mathrm{Eff}^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n})$ for small values of n. Indeed, according to [PT14] and [Pet14, Theorem 3.8], all even cohomology of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n}$ is tautological for $n < 20$. Note that the Betti numbers of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n}$ for $n \leq 7$ have been computed in [Get98] and [Ber09].

4.1. **The classes for $n = 1, 2$.** When $n = 1$, the class of the divisor $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1}$ is

$$[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}] = 3\omega - \frac{1}{10}\delta_{\mathrm{irr}} - \frac{6}{5}\delta_1 = 3\omega - \lambda - \delta_1 \in \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1}) \tag{3}$$

([EH87, Theorem 2.2]), and $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}]$ is rigid and extremal in $\mathrm{Eff}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1})$ ([Rul01]). When $n = 2$, the class of the double ramification locus $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,2}$ is

$$[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}] = 6\psi_1 \cdot \psi_2 - \frac{3}{2}(\psi_1^2 + \psi_2^2) - (\psi_1 + \psi_2) \cdot \left(\frac{21}{10}\delta_{1:1} + \frac{3}{5}\delta_{1:0} + \frac{1}{20}\delta_{\mathrm{irr}}\right) \in A^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,2}) \tag{4}$$

and $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}$ is not a complete intersection ([Tar15]). Expressing products of divisor classes in terms of decorated boundary strata classes, we have

$$[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}] = 5\delta_{2,w} + 9\delta_{11|} + \frac{5}{8}\delta_{01|} - \frac{1}{8}\left(\delta_{01|1} + \delta_{01|2} + \delta_{01|12}\right) + 2\gamma_{1:\emptyset} + \frac{1}{24}\delta_{00}. \tag{5}$$

Here, $\delta_{2,w}$ is the class of the locus of curves with a rational tail containing both marked points attached at a Weierstrass point on a component of genus 2; $\delta_{11|}$ is the class of the locus of curves whose general element has two elliptic tails attached at a rational component containing both marked points; $\delta_{01|}$, $\delta_{01|1}$, $\delta_{01|2}$, $\delta_{01|12}$ are the classes of the loci of curves whose general element has an elliptic tail attached at a nodal rational component with the points distributed in the following way: for the class $\delta_{01|}$ both marked points are on the rational component, for $\delta_{01|i}$ the point i is on the elliptic component and

the other marked point is on the rational component, for $\delta_{01|12}$ both marked points are on the elliptic component; $\gamma_{1:\emptyset}$ is the class of the locus of curves with an elliptic component meeting in two points a rational component containing both marked points; finally, δ_{00} is the class of the locus whose general element is a rational curve with two non-disconnecting nodes.

In §4.2, we will use in a crucial way the expression in (5). In §5, we will also use the following description. Let $\pi_i\colon \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,2} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1}$ be the map obtained by forgetting the point i, for $i = 1, 2$.

Lemma 2. *The following equality holds in* $A^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,2})$

$$\pi_1^* \left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}\right] \cdot \pi_2^* \left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}\right] = \left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}\right] + \gamma_{1:\emptyset} + \delta_{2,w}.$$

In particular, $\pi_1^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1})$ *is the union of the supports of* $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}]$, $\gamma_{1:\emptyset}$, *and* $\delta_{2,w}$.

Proof. The desired equality follows from (3) and (4). Since the supports of $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}]$, $\gamma_{1:\emptyset}$, and $\delta_{2,w}$ are contained in $\pi_i^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1})$, for $i = 1, 2$, the statement follows. □

Note that

$$\delta_{2,w} = \delta_{0:2} \cdot \pi_1^* \left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}\right] = \delta_{0:2} \cdot (3\omega_1 - \lambda - \delta_1).$$

Hence, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}\right] &= \pi_1^*(3\omega_2 - \lambda - \delta_1) \cdot \pi_2^*(3\omega_1 - \lambda - \delta_1) - \delta_{0:2} \cdot (3\omega_1 - \lambda - \delta_1) - \gamma_{1:\emptyset} \\ &= (3\omega_2 - \lambda - \delta_1) \cdot (3\omega_1 - \lambda - \delta_1) - \delta_{0:2} \cdot (3\omega_1 - \lambda - \delta_1) - \gamma_{1:\emptyset}. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

4.2. **The extremality for $n = 2$.** By Theorem 4, in order to show that $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,n}]$ is rigid and extremal in $\mathrm{REff}^n(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n})$ for $2 \leq n \leq 6$, it is enough to show that $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}]$ is rigid and extremal in $\mathrm{Eff}^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,2})$.

Theorem 5. $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}]$ *is rigid and extremal in* $\mathrm{Eff}^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,2})$.

Proof. Suppose that

$$\left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}\right] = \sum_i a_i [X_i], \tag{7}$$

where $a_i > 0$ and X_i is an irreducible codimension-two effective cycle on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,2}$ with $[X_i]$ not proportional to $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}]$, for all i. Let $\pi_j\colon \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,2} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1}$ be the map forgetting the point j, for $j = 1, 2$, and $\pi\colon \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,2} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ be the map forgetting both marked points. Applying $(\pi_j)_*$ to (7), we obtain

$$5 \left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}\right] = \sum_i a_i (\pi_1)_* [X_i]. \tag{8}$$

Pick a locus X_i appearing on the right side of (7). If $(\pi_1)_*[X_i] = (\pi_2)_*[X_i] = 0$, then either X_i is contained in the inverse image via π of a codimension-two effective cycle on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$, or a general point of X_i contains a smooth rational component with two marked points and two singular points. Note that a codimension-two locus in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ is a curve, and the cone of effective curves in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ is known to be spanned by the two one-dimensional boundary strata. We deduce that $[X_i]$ is in the cone generated by the boundary strata classes $\delta_{01|}$, $\delta_{01|1}$, $\delta_{01|2}$, $\delta_{01|12}$, δ_{00}, $\delta_{11|}$, and $\gamma_{1:\emptyset}$.

Suppose $(\pi_1)_*[X_i]$ is nonzero. Since $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}]$ is rigid and extremal in $\mathrm{Eff}^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1})$, from (8) we deduce that $X_i \subset \pi_1^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1})$. Hence, $(\pi_2)_*[X_i]$ is also nonzero, and $X_i \subset \pi_1^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1})$. From Lemma 2 we conclude that $[X_i]$ is supported on the locus of curves with a rational tail containing both marked points attached at a Weierstrass point of a genus-two curve, hence $[X_i]$ is a positive multiple of $\delta_{2,w}$.

From (5), the class of $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}$ lies outside the cone generated by $\delta_{2,w}$, $\delta_{01|}$, $\delta_{01|1}$, $\delta_{01|2}$, $\delta_{01|12}$, δ_{00}, $\delta_{11|}$, and $\gamma_{1:\emptyset}$. Indeed, the coefficient of $\delta_{01|1} + \delta_{01|2} + \delta_{01|12}$ is negative. Hence $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}]$ is extremal in $\mathrm{Eff}^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,2})$.

The rigidity of $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}]$ follows from a similar argument. Suppose that $E := m\,[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}]$ is effective, for some positive m. Since $(\pi_j)_*(E) = 5m\,[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}]$ and $[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}]$ is rigid, we have that $(\pi_j)_*(E)$ is supported on $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}$, for $j = 1, 2$. Hence, the support of E is in $\pi_1^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1})$. From Lemma 2, E is supported on the union of the loci $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}$, $\Gamma_{1:\emptyset}$, and $\Delta_{2,w}$. Since $E = m\,[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}]$, E is supported only on $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}$, and the statement follows. □

5. The class of $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,3}$

The aim of this section is to compute the class of $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,3}$ in $A^3(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,3})$. We first discuss a recursive relation between the classes of a partial closure of $\mathcal{H}yp_{2,n}$ and $\mathcal{H}yp_{2,n-1}$.

Recall the map $\pi_i \colon \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n-1}$ obtained by forgetting the i-th marked point, and the map $\rho_i \colon \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$ obtained by forgetting all but the i-th marked point.

5.1. **A recursive relation.** Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^o_{g,n}$ be the open locus in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ of stable curves with at most one non-disconnecting node. Let $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}^o_{g,n}$ be the closure of $\mathcal{H}yp_{g,n}$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^o_{g,n}$. For $2 \leq n \leq 6$, we note the following identity in $A^n(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^o_{2,n})$

$$\pi_n^*\left(\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}^o_{2,n-1}\right) \cdot \rho_n^*\left(\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}\right) \equiv \overline{\mathcal{H}yp}^o_{2,n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \pi_n^*\left(\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}^o_{2,n-1}\right) \cdot \delta_{0:\{i,n\}}. \tag{9}$$

Indeed, the intersection on the left-hand side consists of genus-two curves with a choice of n ordered Weierstrass points, the first $n-1$ being distinct. The component $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}^o_{2,n}$ corresponds to curves with all n points distinct, and the component $\pi_n^*(\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}^o_{2,n-1}) \cdot \delta_{0:\{i,n\}}$ corresponds to curves with the n-th point coinciding with the i-th point, for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. A Weierstrass point on a smooth hyperelliptic curve of genus g has weight $g(g-1)/2$. This explains the coefficient of $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}^o_{2,n}$. Since the right-hand side is symmetric with respect to the first $n-1$ points, it is clear that all the components $\pi_n^*(\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}^o_{2,n-1}) \cdot \delta_{0:\{i,n\}}$ have equal multiplicity, which, forgetting the point n, must equal 1.

Using (9), one can recursively express the class of $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}^o_{2,n}$ in terms of products of divisor classes. In the following, we derive a complete expression for the class of $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,3}$ in $A^3(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,3})$.

5.2. **A set-theoretic description.** To extend (9) with $n = 3$ over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,3}$, we need to consider loci of curves with at least two non-disconnecting nodes. Let Ξ_i be the closure of the locus of curves with an elliptic component $[E, p_i, x, y]$ such that $2p_i \sim x + y$, and a rational component containing the other two marked points p_j, p_k, and meeting E at the points x, y. Let Θ be the closure of the locus of curves whose general element has a rational component $[R, p_1, p_2, p_3, x, y]$ such that $2p_1 \sim 2p_2 \sim x + y$, and an elliptic component meeting R at the points x, y.

Proposition 1. *We have*

$$\pi_3^*\left(\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}\right) \cap \rho_3^*\left(\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}\right) = \overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,3} \cup \pi_3^*\left(\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}\right)\big|_{\Delta_{0:\{1,3\}}} \cup \pi_3^*\left(\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}\right)\big|_{\Delta_{0:\{2,3\}}} \cup \Xi_1 \cup \Xi_2 \cup \Theta.$$

Proof. The intersection

$$\pi_3^*\left(\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}\right) \cap \rho_3^*\left(\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}\right)$$

consists of stable curves $[C, p_1, p_2, p_3]$ with three marked Weierstrass points and with p_1 and p_2 corresponding to two different Weierstrass points. If the three points correspond to three different Weierstrass points, then $[C, p_1, p_2, p_3]$ is in $\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,3}$. If p_3 and p_1 correspond to the same Weierstrass point, then $[C, p_1, p_2, p_3]$ is in the restriction of $\pi_3^*\left(\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}\right)$ to $\Delta_{0:\{1,3\}}$. The case when p_3 and p_2 correspond to the same Weierstrass point is similar. Finally, restricting the intersection to the codimension-two boundary

strata and using admissible covers to describe Weierstrass points on singular curves, we deduce that Ξ_1, Ξ_2, and Θ are the only additional components contained in the intersection, hence the statement. □

5.3. **The multiplicities.** Since the left-hand side of the expression in Proposition 1 is symmetric with respect to the first two marked points, we conclude that the contributions of $\pi_3^*\left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}\right]\cdot\delta_{0:\{1,3\}}$ and $\pi_3^*\left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}\right]\cdot\delta_{0:\{2,3\}}$ on the right-hand side are equal. Similarly for Ξ_1 and Ξ_2. Hence we have

$$\pi_3^*\left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}\right]\cdot\rho_3^*\left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}\right] = \alpha\left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,3}\right]+\beta\left(\delta_{0:\{1,3\}}+\delta_{0:\{2,3\}}\right)\cdot\pi_3^*\left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}\right]+\gamma([\Xi_1]+[\Xi_2])+\delta\,[\Theta] \tag{10}$$

for some coefficients $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$.

Forgetting the first marked point in (10), the left-hand side is

$$5\left(\pi_1^*\left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}\right]\cdot\pi_2^*\left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}\right]\right) = 5\left(\left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}\right]+\gamma_{1:\emptyset}+\delta_{2,w}\right)$$

by Lemma 2, hence we have

$$5\left(\left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}\right]+\gamma_{1:\emptyset}+\delta_{2,w}\right) = (4\alpha+\beta)\left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}\right]+(4\gamma+\delta)\gamma_{1:\emptyset}+5\beta\,\delta_{2,w}.$$

We deduce

$$\alpha=\beta=1, \qquad\qquad 4\gamma+\delta=5.$$

In order to compute γ and δ, we consider the restriction of (10) to the following three-dimensional test family. Attach at two points of an elliptic curve E a rational tail containing the points marked by 2 and 3, and an elliptic tail F containing the point marked by 1. Consider the family obtained by varying E in a pencil of degree 12, by varying the point of intersection with the rational tail on the central elliptic component in which it lies, and by varying the point marked by 1 on F (see Figure 1). The base of this family is $Y\times F$, where Y is the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ at the nine points of intersection of two general cubics.



FIGURE 1. How the general element of the family moves.

Let H be the pull-back of the hyperplane class in $\mathbb{P}^2$, let Σ be the sum of the nine exceptional divisors, and let E_0 be one of them. Denote by $\pi\colon Y\times F\to F$ the natural projection, and let $q=E\cap F$ be the singular point on F. The divisor classes on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,3}$ restrict as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_1 &= \pi^*(q),\\
\delta_{\mathrm{irr}} &= 36H-12\Sigma \;=\; 12\lambda,\\
\delta_{0:\{2,3\}} &= -3H+\Sigma-E_0,\\
\delta_{1:\{1\}} &= -3H+\Sigma-E_0-\pi^*(q),\\
\delta_{1:0} &= E_0+\pi^*(q).
\end{aligned}$$

From (3) and (4), it follows that

$$\rho_3^*\left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}\right]\cdot\pi_3^*\left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}\right] = -\left(3\delta_{0:\{2,3\}}+\frac{1}{10}\delta_{\mathrm{irr}}+\frac{6}{5}(\delta_{1:\{1\}}+\delta_{1:0})\right)$$
$$\cdot\left(-6\psi_1\cdot\delta_{0:\{2,3\}}-\frac{3}{2}\delta_{0:\{2,3\}}^2-(\psi_1-\delta_{0:\{2,3\}})\cdot\left(\frac{21}{10}\delta_{1:\{1\}}+\frac{3}{5}\delta_{1:0}+\frac{1}{20}\delta_{\mathrm{irr}}\right)\right)=27,$$

and similarly

$$\pi_3^*\left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}\right]\cdot\delta_{0:\{2,3\}}=-9.$$

Note that this family meets Ξ_1 when E degenerates to one of the 12 rational nodal fibers, the rational tail is attached at a point colliding with the non-disconnecting node, and the point marked by 1 differs from q in F by a nontrivial torsion point of order 2 in $\mathrm{Pic}^0(F)$. The intersection is transverse at each point, hence we have

$$\Xi_1 = 12\cdot 3.$$

All other classes in (10) are disjoint from this family. We deduce the following relation

$$27 = -9\beta+36\gamma,$$

hence we conclude that $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=\delta=1$. We have thus proved the following statement.

Proposition 2. *One has*

$$\left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,3}\right]=\pi_3^*\left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,2}\right]\cdot\left(\rho_3^*\left[\overline{\mathcal{H}yp}_{2,1}\right]-\delta_{0:\{1,3\}}-\delta_{0:\{2,3\}}\right)-[\Xi_1]-[\Xi_2]-[\Theta].$$

5.4. **The boundary components.** It remains to compute the classes of Ξ_1, Ξ_2, and Θ. Recall the classes $\gamma_{1:J}$ defined in the introduction.

Lemma 3. *The following equalities hold in* $A^3(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,3})$

$$[\Xi_i] = (2\psi_i-\delta_{1:\{i\}})\cdot\gamma_{1:\{i\}} \qquad \text{for } i=1,2,$$
$$[\Theta] = \gamma_{1:\emptyset}\cdot(\psi_1-\delta_{0:\{1,3\}})=\gamma_{1:\emptyset}\cdot(\psi_2-\delta_{0:\{2,3\}}).$$

Proof. Consider the divisor D_i of curves $[E,p_i,x,y]$ in $\mathcal{M}_{1,3}$ such that $2p_i\sim x+y$. From [BP00, §2.6] or [CC14, Proposition 3.1], one has $[\overline{D}_i]=2\psi_i-\delta_{1:\{i\}}$ in $\mathrm{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,3})$. The locus Ξ_i is the push-forward of $\overline{D}_i\times\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,4}\subset\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,3}\times\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,4}$ via the natural map $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,3}\times\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,4}\to\Gamma_{1:\{i\}}\subset\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,3}$.

Similarly, consider the map $\xi\colon\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,2}\times\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,5}\to\Gamma_{1:\emptyset}\subset\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,3}$ defined as

$$([E,x_1,y_1],[R,p_1,p_2,p_3,x_2,y_2])\mapsto[E\cup_{x_1\sim x_2,y_1\sim y_2}R,p_1,p_2,p_3].$$

The locus Θ is the push-forward via ξ of the locus $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,2}\times\pi_3^*(\text{point})\subset\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,2}\times\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,5}$, where $\pi_3\colon\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,5}\to\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,4}$ is the map obtained by forgetting the point p_3. The statement follows. □

From (3), (6), and Lemma 3, the resulting expression in Proposition 2 gives the statement in Theorem 2.

References

[Ber09] Jonas Bergström. Equivariant counts of points of the moduli spaces of pointed hyperelliptic curves. *Doc. Math.*, 14:259–296, 2009. 5

[BP00] Pavel Belorousski and Rahul Pandharipande. A descendent relation in genus 2. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 29(1):171–191, 2000. 9

[CC14] Dawei Chen and Izzet Coskun. Extremal effective divisors on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n}$. *Math. Ann.*, 359(3-4):891–908, 2014. 9

[CC15] Dawei Chen and Izzet Coskun. Extremal higher codimension cycles on moduli spaces of curves. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 111(1):181–204, 2015. 5

[EH87] David Eisenbud and Joe Harris. The Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of curves of genus ≥ 23. *Invent. Math.*, 90(2):359–387, 1987. 1, 5

[Fab99] Carel Faber. A conjectural description of the tautological ring of the moduli space of curves. In *Moduli of curves and abelian varieties*, Aspects Math., E33, pages 109–129. Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1999. 3

[FM94] William Fulton and Robert MacPherson. A compactification of configuration spaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 139(1):183–225, 1994. 4

[FP05] Carel Faber and Rahul Pandharipande. Relative maps and tautological classes. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 7(1):13–49, 2005. 3, 4

[Get98] Ezra Getzler. Topological recursion relations in genus 2. In *Integrable systems and algebraic geometry (Kobe/Kyoto, 1997)*, pages 73–106. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1998. 5

[GV05] Tom Graber and Ravi Vakil. Relative virtual localization and vanishing of tautological classes on moduli spaces of curves. *Duke Math. J.*, 130(1):1–37, 2005. 3

[Loo95] Eduard Looijenga. On the tautological ring of $\mathcal{M}_g$. *Invent. Math.*, 121(2):411–419, 1995. 3

[Pet14] Dan Petersen. Tautological rings of spaces of pointed genus two curves of compact type. *Preprint, arXiv:1310.7369*, 2014. 2, 5

[PT14] Dan Petersen and Orsola Tommasi. The Gorenstein conjecture fails for the tautological ring of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n}$. *Invent. Math.*, 196(1):139–161, 2014. 2, 5

[Rul01] William Frederick Rulla. *The birational geometry of moduli space M(3) and moduli space M(2,1)*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2001. Thesis (Ph.D.)–The University of Texas at Austin. 1, 2, 3, 5

[Rul06] William Frederick Rulla. Effective cones of quotients of moduli spaces of stable n-pointed curves of genus zero. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 358(7):3219–3237 (electronic), 2006. 1

[Tar15] Nicola Tarasca. Double Total Ramifications for Curves of Genus 2. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (19):9569–9593, 2015. 1, 5

Department of Mathematics, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467
E-mail address: dawei.chen@bc.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112
E-mail address: tarasca@math.utah.edu